

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/176,067	1	0/20/1998	GRAHAM J. DURANT	47578 7733		
21874	7590	03/25/2003				
EDWARD		ELL, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 9 BOSTON, N				O SULLIVAN, PETER G 14		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1621		
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applic

Application No. 09/176,067

Peter O'Sullivan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1621

Durant et al.



Office Action Summary

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	for Reply						
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.							
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 13, 2</u>	002		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>7-77</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 7-9 and 11-77	,		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>10</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	ımary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s).			
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)			
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1621

1. Claims 7-77 are pending in this application. In a prior amendment, a number of claims have been made to depend from non-elected claims. Claims 7-9, 11 and 12-77 are held withdrawn from consideration. Claims 11 and 46-77 are held withdrawn because they are not currently rejected and contain subject matter not embraced by the elected species. The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments and comments.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mulyuga et al. Mulyuga et al. disclose substituted N-benzoyl, N'benyl-guanidines as bactericides. N-[imino[(phenylmethyl)amino]methyl]-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzamide is specifically disclosed. The

Application/Control Number: 09/176,067

Art Unit: 1621

instant invention differs from that of Mulyuga et al. in that applicants also claim position isomers/homologues of the compounds shown. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious at the

time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

to start with the teaching of Mulyuga et al., to make position isomers/homologues of compounds

shown and to expect to make bactericides. Position isomers/homologues are held to be obvious.

In re Mills 126 U.S.P.Q. 513.

4. No claim is allowed.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter O'Sullivan at

telephone number (703) 308-4526.

PÉTÉR O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Page 3